Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	mary	10/698,065	BARENYS ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	iiai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ryan M. Stiglic	2112	i
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>				
(1) Ryan M. Stiglic.		(3)		
(2) <u>Betty Formby</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 March 2006		Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a bnef description:				
Part I.		,		
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 10,11,21,22,27,28,29,30				
Prior art documents discussed: none				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was received with respect to the claims indicated above. Claims 10, 11, 21, 22 and 29 have been amended to further clear ambiguity in the claims. Claims 27, 28 and 30 were cancelled.				
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropi	riate)